

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/988,585	11/20/2001	Kazuhiko Horikoshi	566.40894X00	8920	
20457	7590 02/27/2003		•		
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS			EXAMINER		
	SEVENTEENTH STR	TRAN, T	TRAN, THIEN F		
ARLINGTON	, VA 22209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811		
		·	DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				am		
	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
	09/988,585		HORIKOSHI ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	121-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-		
-	Thien F Tran		2811			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sh	t with th	correspondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	IS SET TO EXPIRE	3 MONTH	(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice is a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will expire SIX (6).	ay a reply be tin of thirty (30) day MONTHS from ne ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	nmunication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowations closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal Ex parte Quayle, 1935	matters, p 5 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to the 453 O.G. 213.	merits is		
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,9,11 and 22</u> is/are pending in the						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,9,11 and 22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement	i.				
Application Papers	ır					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acception		by the Exa	aminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on				r.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received					
	The state of the state of the state of the Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2((a)).		Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list				application)		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest				application).		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	tic priority under 35 U.	S.C. §§ 12	0 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)	·		(0.70, 410, 5, 111, 111, 111, 111, 111, 111, 111	- \		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	ce of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(I Patent Application (PTC			

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al. (US 6,025,630).

Yamazaki et al. discloses the claimed thin-film transistor (Fig. 2E) comprising a glass substrate (Corning 7059) 201; and formed at an upper part of said glass substrate, a channel region 208, a source region 206, a drain region 207 and an insulating layer 204, wherein said channel region, said source region and said drain region comprise non-monocrystalline silicon (polycrystalline silicon) formed by excimer laser method (col. 2, lines 27-35; col. 6, lines 50-60 of Yamazaki), said glass substrate 201 has the same material used by applicant for the claimed substrate (an annealed glass substrate CORNING 7059 in the final structure), and said insulating layer 204 covers said channel region.

Regarding claim 11, said insulating layer is a silicon oxide layer.

The claim limitations "said glass substrate is such that its compaction is 30 ppm or higher, when said glass substrate is heated at 600° C for 1 hour and thereafter cooled at a rate of 1° C/minute" in claim 9 and "formed by oxidizing a surface of said channel region at a temperature of 500°C or below" in claim 11 are taken to be product by

Art Unit: 2811

process limitations which carry no weight in claims drawn to structure. A product by process claim directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See In re Fessman, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983); and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985), all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final structure of the product "gleaned" from the process steps, which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process. See also MPEP 2113. Moreover, an old and obvious product produced by a new method is not a patentable product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not.

Claims 1, 2 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abe et al. (JP 8-195494).

Abe et al. discloses the claimed thin-film transistor (Fig. 2) comprising a high heat resisting glass substrate (Corning 7059) 1; and formed at an upper part of said glass substrate, a channel region 2, a source region (2, 7), a drain region (2, 7), a first insulating layer 3 and a second insulating layer 4, wherein said channel region, said source region and said drain region comprise polycrystalline silicon, said glass substrate 1 has the same material used by applicant for the claimed substrate (an annealed glass substrate CORNING 7059 in the final structure), said first insulating layer 3 covers said channel region, and said second insulating layer is formed on a surface of said first insulating layer.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 2, Abe et al. discloses said first insulating layer 3 has a layer thickness of 5 to 10 nm which is in the claimed range, 4 nm or larger or at least 4 nm.

Regarding claim 22, said first insulating layer 3 is a silicon oxide layer.

The claim limitation "said glass substrate is such that its compaction is 30 ppm or higher, when said glass substrate is heated at 600° C for 1 hour and thereafter cooled at a rate of 1° C/minute" in claim 1 is taken to be a product by process limitation which carries no weight in claims drawn to structure. A product by process claim directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See In re Fessman, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983); and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985), all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final structure of the product "gleaned" from the process steps, which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process. See also MPEP 2113. Moreover, an old and obvious product produced by a new method is not a patentable product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 9, 11 and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2811

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thien F Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-4108. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 5:00PM Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2811

tt

February 25, 2003

Mien Vanc

Thien Tran
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800